

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
**CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL**

Case No. 2:22-cv-03862-AFMDate: September 7, 2022Title Melanie Delapaz v. Michael I. NitkaPresent: The Honorable: ALEXANDER F. MacKINNON, U.S. Magistrate Judge

Ilene Bernal  
Deputy Clerk

N/A  
Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff:  
N/A

Attorneys Present for Defendants:  
N/A

**Proceedings (In Chambers): ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. FED. R. CIV. P. 4(m). Generally, defendants must answer the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the defendant is the United States). FED. R. CIV. P. 12(a).

In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause *in writing* on or before **September 16, 2022**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Pursuant to Rule 78(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted on that date.

Filing of the following on or before the date indicated above will constitute a satisfactory response to the Order to Show Cause:

- ☒ Proofs of service of summons and complaint on all defendants for whom such documents have not yet been filed;
- ☒ An appearance by all defendants who have been served or an application for entry of default pursuant to Rule 55(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Initials of Preparer

\_\_\_\_\_  
:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ib  
\_\_\_\_\_